Unstable Slope Management Program for FLMAs

Western Federal Lands-



Presented by: Douglas A. Anderson, LG, LEG FHWA - WFL - Engineering Geologist







Project Conducted by:

Western Federal Lands



FHWA - Western Federal Lands Douglas A. Anderson, L.E.G. Engineering Geologist 360-619-7958 douglas.a.anderson@dot.gov

Phase 1

Phase 2



ADOT&PF David Stanley and Barry Benko Chief Engineering Geologists



WTI - Montana State University Eli <u>Cuelho</u>, P.E. & Laura Fay, P.E. Principal Investigators





U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration





Types of Unstable Slopes

1

Western Federal Lands-

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration



Goals of USMP

Western Federal Lands-

Risk Reduction, Maintenance, and Resiliency

- Proactively prioritize and manage unstable slopes on roads and trails for project selection
- Includes soil, rock, and thaw-unstable slopes
- Developed for low AADTs and has a rural context for hazard and risk ratings
- Includes risks to natural and cultural resources
- Utilizes existing, proven unstable slope systems (RHRS, WSDOT USMS, ADOT&PF USMP)

U.S. Department of Trans Federal Highway Adm

Goals of USMP continued...

Western Federal Lands-

Risk Reduction, Maintenance, and Resiliency

- Generate one standard set of criteria
- Provides efficient field survey process (App)
- Provide methods to monitor and track deterioration to prioritize preventative maintenance
- Develop program elements that are scalable and flexible to meet departments/agencies differing missions and data availability



USMP Program Step-by-Step "6 Steps to Success"

Western Federal Lands-

- 1. Evaluate transportation system use and needs; target USMP implementation
- 2. Rate identified transportation corridors based on maintenance input
- 3. Prioritize Rated Slopes
- 4. Develop conceptual designs and estimates by geotechnical specialist for highly rated slopes only
- 5. Evaluate benefit-costs and reprioritize rated slopes for proactive project selection



6. Track slopes in USMP; watching for trends of deterioration that require proactive risk reduction intervention



USMP Website

Western Federal Lands-

Unstable Slope Management Program



USMP Website: <u>http://usmp.info/client.php</u>

Username: level1@email.com Password: level1





USMP for FLMA Website Map Functionality

Western Federal Lands-

- Shows an overview of rated sites
 - Landslides 🖄
 - Rockfalls
 - Color separates good, fair, and poor scores



- Low score High score
- Users can zoom and pan around to different management areas

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration



Man data @2018 Google 2 km L



Map Functionality

Western Federal Lands-

Clicking on a site brings up a pop-up

- Allows for a quick overview of the site
- Includes a carousel with five photos from the most recent edit
- Has links to:
 - A history of site form edits
 - All site photos
 - All files uploaded







U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

Unstable Slope Rating Form

Western Federal Lands

Site Information

- Drop-downs agency or other selection
- Several measurements noted here auto-calculate in categories below
- Photos and documents can be uploaded
- Relationship fields provided for existing databases to connect with the USMP for FLMA data

| | Slope Rating Form | n - Site Information | 1 |
|--|---|--------------------------|--|
| Vanagement Area: Select Agency ✓ Select State/Region/Territor ✓ Select Local/County/Territor ✓ | Date: 2018-01-04 10:52:41 | Rockfall Landslide | Hazard Type: Press (ctrl+click) select more than one Planar Wedge Toppling Raveling/Undermining |
| Road/Trail No: | Road/Trail: | Road/Trail Class: | Rater: |
| Beginning Mile Marker: | Ending Mile Marker: | Side: | Weather: Unknown |
| Begin Coord. Lat/Long: Lat (## #####): Long (-###.######): | End Coord. Lat/Long: Lat (##.#####): Long (-###.#####): | Datum: WGS 84 | AADT: |
| Length of Affected Road/Trail (ft): | Slope Height (rock)/Axial Length | ו (Slide) (ft): | Slope Angle (°): |
| Sight Distance (ft): | Usable Roadway/Trail Width (ft): | : | Speed Limit (mph): |
| Ditch Width Range (ft): | Ditch Depth Range (ft): | Ditch Slope Range (H:V): | Block Size (ft): Volume (cy): |
| Annual Rainfall Range (in): | Sole Access Route: | Mitigation Present: | Photos/Documents(up to 10MB): |
| Comments: | | | |
| Alternate database Name: | | Alternate database ID: | |

Preliminary Ratings

- Rapid assessment tool to limit time spent at a good conditioned slope
- Either, three landslide or rockfall hazard rating categories with two risk rating categories required for all unstable slope types

| Preliminary Ratings | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|---|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Category Rating: | 3 | 9 | 27 | 81 | Score: | | | | | |
| A. Landslide - Roadway Width Affected: | 0-5 percent | 6-25 percent | 26-50 percent | 51-100 percent | 0 | | | | | |
| B. Landslide - Slide/Erosion Effects: | Visible crack or slight deposit of material / minor erosion | 1 inch offset, or 6-inch deposit of material / major erosion will affect travel in < 5 years | 2-inch offset or 12- inch deposity / mod. erosion impacting travel annually | 4-inch offset or 24- inch deposity / severe erosion impacting travel consistently | 0 | | | | | |
| C. Landslide - Roadway Length Affected: | 25 ft | 100 ft | 225 ft | 400 ft | 0 | | | | | |
| D. Rockfall - Ditch Effectiveness: (consider launch features) | Good | Moderate | Limited | No Catchment | 0 | | | | | |
| E. Rockfall - Rockfall History: | Few Falls | Occasional Falls | Many Falls | Constant Falls | 0 | | | | | |
| F. Rockfall - Block Size or Volume per Event: | 1ft or 3yd^3 | 2ft or 6yd^3 | 3ft or 9yd^3 | 4ft or 12yd^3 | 0 | | | | | |
| G. All - Impact on Use: | Full use continues with minor delay | Partial use remains Use modification required, short (3mi / 30min.) detour available | Use is blocked - long (>30min.) detour available or less than 1 day closure | Use is blocked - no detour available or closure longer than 1 week | 0 | | | | | |
| H. All - AADT/Usage/Economic or Recreational Importance (highest rating applies): | 50 Rarely Used Insignificant economic / rec. importance | 200 Occasionally used Minor economic / rec. importance | 450 Frequently used Moderate economic / rec. importance | 800 Constantly used Significant economic / rec. importance | Use AADT in calculation: 🖂 | | | | | |
| Preliminary Rating Landslide Total (A | +B+C+G+H): | | | | 0 | | | | | |
| Preliminary Rating Rockfall Total (D+ | E+F+G+H): | | | | 0 | | | | | |
| Preliminary Rating Good (15-21 pts) Fair (22-161 pts) Poor (>161 pts) | | | | | | | | | | |





J. ROCKFALL HAZARD TOTAL (D+E+F+I+J+K+O+(greater of P+Q or R+S)):

Federal Highway

Detailed Slope Hazard & Risk Rating Categories

| | Slo | | | | | ings | | | | Risk Ratings | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--------------------------|---|--|---|--|--|--------|---|--|
| | Category Rati | ing: | | | 3 | 9 | 27 | 81 | Score: | V. Route Width or Trail Width: | 36ft | 28ft | 20ft | 12ft | 0 | | |
| | | | | | Slope appears dry | Slope water on c | | Water always on slope; very | | W. Human Exposure Factor: | 12.5% of the time | 25% of the time | 37.5% of the time | 50% of the time | 0 | | |
| | I. All - Slope E | Drainage: | | | or well drained; surface runoff well controlled | not well drained; or surface runoff moderately | poorty drained; or surface runoff poorly controlled | drained; or surface water runoff control not present | 0 | X. % of Decision Sight Distance (Judge avoidance ability on trails): | Adequate, 100% of the low design value | Moderate, 80% of the low design value | Limited, 60% of the low design value | Very limited, 40% of the low design value | 0 | | |
| | J. All - Annual Rainfall: K. All - Slope Height (Rockfall) / Axial Length of slide (Landslide): | | 0-10" | controlled 10-30" | 30-60" | 60"+ | 0 | Y. Right of Way (R/W) Impacts (If Left Unattended): | No R/W implications | Minor effects beyond R/W | Private property, no structures | Structures, roads, RR, utilities, or | 0 | | | | |
| | | | 25ft | 50ft | 75ft | 100ft | 0 | | | Likely to Effect/No | arrected | Current adverse | | | | | |
| | | | L. Thaw Stabi | ility: | Unfrozen / Thaw Stable | Slightly Thaw Unstable | Moderately Thaw | Highly Thaw Unstable | 0 | Z. Environmental/Cultural Impacts if Left Unattended: | to Cause Effects | Hist. Prop. Affected | Affect/Finding of No Adverse Effect | effects/Adverse Effect | 0 | | |
| | | Landslides / | M. Instability Maint. Freque | - Related ency: | Every 10 years | Every 5 years | Every 2 years | Every year | 0 | AA. Maintenance Complexity: | Routine Effort / In- House | In-House maint. / special project | Specialized equip. / contract | Complex / dangerous effort / location / contract | 0 | | |
| | | Erosion | | | Minor U | Up to 1 inch | Up to 3 | >3" per event, >6" annually, | t, ', | BB. Event Cost: | \$0-2k | \$2-25k | \$25-100k | >\$100k | 0 | | |
| | | | N. Movement Histo | History: | movement or sporadic | annually or steady annual | event, one | event per | 0 | CC. Risk Totals (G+H+V+W+X+Y+Z+AA+BB): | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | creep | creep | event per year | all debris | | TOTAL USMP SCORE: LANDSLIDES | (T+CC) OR ROCKFA | LL (U+CC): Good (< | 200 pts) Fair (200-4 | 400 pts) Poor (>400 | pts) 0 | | |
| S L | Select One Unstable Slope Type | e O. Rockfall-Related Maint. Frequency: | | Normal, scheduled maintenance | Patrols after every storm event | Routine seasonal patrols | Year round patrols | 0 | Total USMP Score | | | | | | | | |
| | | | (| | Geological | P. Structural Condition: | favorable | random | Discontinuous adverse | Continuous adverse | 0 | | | | | • | |
| | | Rockfalls | Character Case 1 | Q. Rock Friction: | Rough / Irregular | Undulating | Planar | Clay infilled / Slickensided | 0 | translates to good, fair, a | | | | | | | |
| | | | Geological Con Character | R. Structural Condition: | Few differential erosion features | Occasional differential erosion features | Many differential erosion features | Major differential erosion features | 0 | poor condition for map | | | | р | | | |
| 2 | | | Case 2 | S. Diff. in Erosion Rates: | Small difference | Moderate difference | Large difference | Extreme difference | 0 | symbols | | | | | | | |
| U.S. Department of T | T. LANDSLID | E HAZARD TOT | AL (A+B+C+I+ | J+K+L+M+N): | | | | | 0 | | - / | | | | | | |



USMP "Field" Apps



- Apps include the rating, new slope event, and maintenance forms (same input categories as online version)
- Android and iOS apps are available at the Google Play Store and on iTunes
- Collect data and photos in offline mode and upload one at a time to the website when back online (very efficient work flow)



We

Other USMP Products

Western Federal Lands-

- New Slope Event, Maintenance, and QRA Forms
- 40-minute and 9-minute videos that show "How to Rate an Unstable Slope" and "How to Use the USMP Website"
- Training presentations for two-day training course

Unstable Slope Management Program













Denali NP Example

Western Federal Lands-

- Step #1 92 Mile Denali Park Road
- Significant Park & Regional Asset
- Sole Road Access to Kantishna
- Step #2 141
 USMP sites rated
 in 2015-16 (SE);
 Zion NP ~\$30/site

U.S. Department of Tra

Federal Highway Ad



Searching and Reporting

Western Federal Lands-

Searching and Reporting

- Search for sites through threetiered search criteria to funnel search
- Export visible sites as a CSV
- Data can be imported into other databases or GIS programs for analysis

| ŀ | ALE HOM | INSER | PAGE FO | RM DATA | REVIE VIE | W ACRO 1 | nwitte©gn | nail.com · | | l |
|----|-----------|---------|------------|------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|------------|------|---|
| A | 1 | • 1 2 | X V | f_x 10 | 0 | | | | | • |
| 1 | A | в | C | D | E | F | G | H | | Ē |
| 1 | ID | SITE_ID | MGMT_A | ROAD_T | R ROAD TR | BEGIN_MI | END_MILE | ROAD_O | R SI | |
| 2 | 22 | 22 | Gifford Pi | F5 25 | Level 4 | 20.63 | 20.64 | R | L | |
| 3 | 26 | 26 | Gifford Pi | FS 25 | Level 4 | 20.87 | 20.94 | R | L | |
| 4 | 33 | 33 | Gifford P | FS 25 | Level 4 | 21.3557 | 21.3991 | 8 | L | |
| 5 | 43 | 43 | Gifford P | FS 25 | Level 4 | 22.53 | 22.76 | R | L | |
| 6 | 43 | 43 | Gifford Pi | FS 25 | Level 4 | 22.53 | 22.76 | R | L | |
| Ż. | 57 | 57 | Gifford Pi | FS 25 | Level 4 | 24.45 | 24.48 | R | L | |
| | 4.1.1.4.1 | 582cee | 0124e8b | (1) | | 11 | | | 1 | |





U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration



Step #3 - Denali NP USMP Scores >400

Western Federal Lands-

3

· BO

| | SITEID | | | | DICK | TOTAL | | | | |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------|---|-------|-------|-------|
| | DENA SITE NAME | USMP SITE ID [Assigned] | Road/ Trail No. | BEGIN. MILE MARKER | END. MILE MARKER | SIDE | HAZARD TYPE | TOTAL | TOTAL | SCORE |
| | Mile 45.4a - Pretty Rocks Slide | 957 | 10 | 45.34 | 45.41 | L | Landslide, across road, translational/rotational? | 530 | 418 | 948 |
| | Mile 52.9 – Toklas Bluffs Corner | 964 | 10 | 52.87 | 52.96 | R | Rockfall, wedge | 455 | 140 | 595 |
| | Mile 50.4 Debris Flow | 887 | 10 | 50.36 | 50.40 | R | Landslide, debris flow, across road | 379 | 205 | 583 |
| | Mile 51.9 – Bugstuffer | 960 | 10 | 51.92 | 51.95 | R | Landslide, debris flow, across road | 405 | 170 | 575 |
| | Mile 50.8 - Whoop-te-do | | 10 | 50.75 | 50.81 | L | Landslide, rotational, mostly below but beginning to come i | 316 | 254 | 569 |
| Mile 35.2 Debris Flow (bus) | | 927 | 10 | 35.25 | 35.27 | L | Landslide, debris flow, across road | 367 | 195 | 562 |
| | Mile 49.9 Debris Flow | 936 | 10 | 49.88 | 49.90 | R | Landslide, debris flow, across road | 375 | 186 | 561 |
| | Mile 67.4a - Eagle's Nest Drainag | 981 | 10 | 67.37 | 67.41 | R | Landslide, debris flow, across road | 415 | 141 | 556 |
| | Mile 57.9 Debris Flow 1990's | 889 | 10 | 57.88 | 57.89 | R | Landslide, debris flow, across road | 330 | 220 | 550 |
| | Mile 40.1 Debris Flow (more active | 854 | 10 | 40.15 | 40.18 | R | Lanslide, debris flow, across road | 391 | 139 | 530 |
| | Mile 37.7b - Igloo Debris Slide | 980 | 10 | 37.72 | 37.75 | R | Landslide, above road, translational | 315 | 199 | 514 |
| | Mile 45.3b - Polychrome Debris S | 956 | 10 | 45.31 | 45.32 | R | Landslide, rotational, above/onto road | 281 | 229 | 510 |
| Mile 68.2a Bad Rockfall | | 910 | 10 | 68.18 | 68.23 | R | Rockfall, differential erosion from pyroclastic breccia | 280 | 221 | 500 |
| | Mile 44.6b Rockfall | 864 | 10 | 44.59 | 44.64 | R | Rockfall, wedge | 242 | 241 | 483 |
| | Mile 68.0c Landslide with Hdrains | 971 | 10 | 68.06 | 68.08 | L | Landslide, below road, rotational | 228 | 238 | 465 |
| | Mile 24.9 - Sanctuary Hill Roadwa | 799 | 10 | 24.90 | 24.96 | R | Landslide, frost-heave, across/in road | 322 | 137 | 459 |
| | Mile 45.2a Rockfall | 870 | 10 | 45.17 | 45.21 | R | Rockfall, wedge | 221 | 233 | 454 |
| | Mile 45.3a rockfall | 933 | 10 | 45.27 | 45.32 | R | Rockfall, wedge | 214 | 239 | 453 |
| | Mile 25.2 - Sanctuary Hill | 801 | 10 | 25.20 | 25.37 | R | Landslide, frost-heave, across/in road | 328 | 116 | 444 |
| | Mile 68.2b Debris Flow 2012 | 972 | 10 | 68.23 | 68.24 | R | Landslide, debris flow, onto road | 305 | 136 | 440 |
| | Mile 67.3 – Eagle's Nest Rockfall | 968 | 10 | 67.31 | 67.37 | R | Rockfall, indeterminate | 254 | 186 | 440 |
| | Mile 68.2c Rockfall | 911 | 10 | 68.24 | 68.26 | R | Rockfall, differential erosion from pyroclastic breccia | 238 | 194 | 432 |
| | Mile 53.4 - Toklat Tent | 966 | 912 | 0.16 | 0.22 | L | Landslide, debris flow potentially across road | 280 | 145 | 425 |
| | Mile 68.1b Rockfall | 909 | 10 | 68.12 | 68.18 | R | Rockfall, differential erosion from pyroclastic breccia | 238 | 174 | 412 |
| | Mile 67.4b Rockfall | 969 | 10 | 67.40 | 67.48 | R | Rockfall, indeterminate (some distinct wedge and topple) | 245 | 163 | 408 |
| Federal Highway Ac | Mile 44.8 - Bear Cave Slump | 955 | 10 | 44.81 | 44.83 | L | Landslide, below road, rotational | 198 | 199 | 398 |

Denali NP USMP Process

Western Federal Lands-

FLH reviewed USMP ratings and developed conceptual designs and estimates by geotechnical specialists for 26 highly rated slopes > 400 points

Step #5

Step #4

NPS will evaluate benefit-costs and reprioritize rated slopes for proactive project selection and programming (greatest benefit with least cost)



Scheduled for completion in winter 2018-19.

Warren Wagon Road Example Valley County, Idaho



- McCall, Idaho to Payette NF Access (Year-round recreation)
- ~5.5 miles long corridor
- Widening 2-lane roadway to accommodate pedestrian/bike lanes and rebuild pavement to frost free structural section
- USMP (Step #2) 30 rockfall and 6 landslides
- USMP (Step #3) Prioritized Slopes; 8 rockfall and 1 landslide >500 points

West of the second seco

Warren Wagon Road Example (USMP Ratings Only Used)

- <u>Step #4:</u> Conceptual Risk Reduction Designs and Cost Estimates developed for 9 highest rated slopes
- US23 Pictured to right– Highest rated (USMP Rating = 889)
- <u>Goal:</u> Reduce risk of rockfall impacting users and protect the new pavement section; install deep patches for landslides









Warren Wagon Road Example

- <u>Contract</u>: Scale top 8 USMP rated rockfall slopes; rock reinforcement in top 3 rated slopes and construction of pinned wire mesh system
- Project Awarded April 2018
- Unstable Slope Construction began in August 2018



Why is this beneficial?

- Switch from "expensive" reactive practices to more cost effective proactive management with unstable slopes
- Only implement in units/corridors where beneficial
- Minimize rating costs with temporary workforce
- Provides standardized rating scores and processes across department/management units for project selection and programming



Next Steps

- Manual completed & undergoing publication process (September/October 2018)
- Western Transportation Institute funded to maintain the USMP until March 2020
- For FLH Partners: Develop an annual maintenance agreement with FLMAs to house the USMP behind a federal firewall
- For FHWA Partners: Make the USMP for FLMA available on the FHWA website for downloading; accompanied by a system architecture document



Contacts, Links, Questions

Western Federal Lands-

Douglas A. Anderson, L.E.G. • WFLHD – Engineering Geologist • Office: <u>360-619-7958</u> • Email: <u>douglas.a.anderson@dot.gov</u> Eric Bilderback, PhD • National Park Service – Geomorphologist • Office: <u>303-969-2154</u> • Email: <u>eric_bilderback@nps.gov</u>



Website and App:

https://usmp.info/client/map.php

Username: level1@email.com

> Password: level1



U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

